

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,624	02/14/2002	Wenyuan Shi	061818-5512 US	2797
43850 7590 11/01/2005			EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP (SF)			ZEMAN, ROBERT A	
2 PALO ALTO SQUARE 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 PALO ALTO, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/077,624	SHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert A. Zeman	1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportunity appropriate the second section appropriate the second	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. rely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ferman 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-48 are subject to restriction and/or example. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 	vn from consideration. election requirement.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accent applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-3, drawn to compositions comprising a targeting moiety coupled to an anti-microbial peptide moiety wherein the targeting moiety is a peptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 4-12, drawn to compositions comprising a targeting moiety coupled to an anti-microbial péptide moiety wherein the targeting moiety is an antibody or fragment thereof, classified in class 530, subclass 391.1.
- III. Claim 13, drawn to compositions comprising a targeting moiety coupled to an anti-microbial peptide moiety wherein the targeting moiety is a ligand, classified in class 435, subclass 69.7.
- IV. Claims 14-32, drawn to compositions comprising a targeting moiety coupled to an anti-microbial peptide moiety wherein the anti-microbial peptide moiety is a peptide etc., classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
- V. Claims 35-46, drawn to methods of treating a microbial infection utilizing compositions comprising a targeting moiety coupled to an anti-microbial peptide moiety, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
- VI. Claims 47-48, drawn to methods of making compositions comprising a targeting moiety coupled to an anti-microbial peptide moiety, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.

Art Unit: 1645

Claim 1 is a linking claim, linking the invention of claims 2-34. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s). Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicants are advised that if any such claims depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Moiety and Target Election Requirement Applicable to Group IV

In addition, Group IV as detailed above reads on patentably distinct treatment composition. Each composition is patentably distinct because the components of said compositions are unrelated structurally and in mode of action, and a further restriction is applied to said Group. Applicant must further elect a single anti-microbial peptide moiety and a target microbial organism (See MPEP 803.04) if Group IV is elected.

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected anti-microbial peptide moiety and a target microbial organism and should not to be construed as a species election.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-IV are each separate and distinct from each other, as they comprise differing biochemical and immunological entities having differing properties and uses. Each invention constitutes a patentably distinct treatment composition.

Art Unit: 1645

Invention VI and Inventions I-IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the compositions of Inventions I-IV can be chemically synthesized.

Inventions I-IV are each related to Invention V as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the compositions of Inventions I-IV can be used for antibody production or protein purification.

Inventions V and VI are each separate and distinct from each other as they are drawn to differing methods having different steps, different goals and leading to differing results.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for the various Inventions would not be coextensive in scope, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found

Art Unit: 1645

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Art Unit: 1645

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 1645

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT A. ZEMAN PATENT EXAMENER

October 24, 2005